

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,981	0	3/01/2002	Stefan Jansen	1076.41311X00	2225	
20457	7590	07/27/2005		EXAM	INER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET				WIMER, M	WIMER, MICHAEL C	
SUITE 1800	DEVEN	ILENTII STREET	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	N, VA 2	2209-3873		2828		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()
Office Antion Commence	10/084,981	JANSEN, STEFAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Wimer	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty 19 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT 19 by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commandered timely. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <i>11 July 2005</i> .		
	☐ This action is non-final.		-
3) Since this application is in condition for	— allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4,9-12,17-20,25-28 and 33-3	36 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>5-8,13-,16,21-24 and 29-32</u> is/			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received.	,,,,,,,	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	· ·	•	
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International 	•	received in this National St	age
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
	== ==		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4,17-20 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holshouser et al. (6107970).

Regarding Claims 1-4, and , Holshouser et al. show a mobile phone and antenna in Figures 8A and 8B and 9A and 9B, a multi-layered dielectric PCB 40 with an element 42,142 formed from conductor patterns 46a,46b and 146a,146b on a plurality of layers including at least one buried layer of the PCB, and the conductor patterns are in a stacked relation and interconnected through the PCB with conductive vias 149 extending through apertures (i.e., the PCB is apertured adjacent the element) and the element is located at the edge 48 of the PCB, all arranged as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,981 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

4. Claims 9-12 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser et al. (6107970) in view of Baro (5668559).

As noted above, Holshouser et al. show all structure with respect to the rejected claims. However, no ground plane conductor appears to be taught. Thus Baro is cited as teaching a ground plane conductor forming part of a coaxial feeder and radiator and shown in Figures 13A and 13B as layer 138,1383 and forming the helical radiator. A skilled artisan would have found it obvious to form the helix in Holshouser et al. as taught by Baro and to employ vias connecting the layers also as used in Holshouser et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5-8,13-16,21-24 and 29-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. The remarks made in the Advisory Action taken 6/7/2005 regarding the arguments in the paper filed 5/13/2005 after final Office action, are applicable here.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun O. Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

MCW 7/21/2005